

4/20/2004 8:23:36 am

## Attorney Docket Number 6631P008 **DECLARATION AND POWER OF** ATTORNEY FOR PATENT First Named inventor Andreas Kemmler APPLICATION COMPLETE IF KNOWN (37 CFR 1.63) Application Number 10/718,746 Decleration Filing Pete Declaration November 21, 2003 Submitted efter initial Submitted OR Filing (surcharge (37 CFR 1.16(e)) Art Unit with Initial Filing Examiner Name required)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address, and oitizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original and first inventor (if only one name listed below) or an original and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

COMPUTER-AIDED DATABASE SYSTEM AND METHOD FO	OR OPERATING IT
(Title of the Invention)	
the specification of which	
is attached hereto.	
OR	
was filed on (if applicable); or11/21/2003 as United States Application Number PCT International Application Number	10/718,746
and was amended on	(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, nor do I know or believe that the invention has been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.58, including for continuation-in-part applications, material information which became available between the filling date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filling date of the continuation-in-part application.

+49 6227 7 0 SAP AG / HR PLAN

NR.780

5.2/4

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent, or inventor's or plant preeder's rights certificate(s), or 366(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

## Prior Foreign Application(s):

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Соипіту	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not Claimed	Certified Copy Attached?
103 48 686.8	Germany	10/16/2003		∐ Yes ⊠ No
				☐ Yes ☐ No
			Ü	☐ Yes ☐ No
		1		☐ Yes ☐ No
				☐ Yes ☐ No

I hereby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this document) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Direct all c	omespondence to:	Customer Nur	mber <b>08791</b>	or 🔀	Correspond	ence addresa below
Name	André L. Marais Blakely, Sokolofi	, Taylor & Zafmar	LLP			
Address	12400 Wilshire B	oulevard, 7th Floo	r			
City	Los Angeles		State California		Zip Code	90025
Country	USA	Tele	phone (408) 947-8200		Fax	(408) 947-8280

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

NAME OF SOLE	OR F(RST INVENTOR: A petition h	A petition has been filed for this undersigned inventor		
Full Name:	Andres	s Kemmler		
	A   (First, Midgle [if any], Family No	ime (or Surname), a	nd Suffix [f ary])	
Inventor's Signatur	re A. Kemme [f any]. Family No	_ Date _	18.04. 2004	
Residence Ma	ssenbachhausen, Germany	Citizenship	Garmany	
	(City, State, Counsty)		(Country)	
Mailing Address	Lilienweg 3			
	Massenbachhausen D - 74252 Germany			

NR.780

S.3/4

4/20/2004 8:23:36 am 20.APR.2004

SAP AG / HR PLAN

## Appendix A

I hereby appoint with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith, BLAKFLY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, a firm including: William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,281; Vincent H. Anderson, Reg. No. 54,962; Anthony H. Azure, Reg. No. 52,560; W. Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 48,487; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,534; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 26,831; R. Alan Bumett. Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 59,526; Cory G. Classasan, Reg. No. 50,266; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 46,145; Miml D. Deo, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,603; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,613; Elena B. Dreszer, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,603; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,613; Elena B. Dreszer, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,603; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,613; Elena B. Dreszer, Reg. No. 47,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,603; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 41,44,4 Aren M. Hartounian, Reg. No. 62,897; Jeffery Scott Hellason, Reg. No. 40,621; Jason R. Graff, Reg. No. 43,44; Aren M. Hartounian, Reg. No. 62,897; Jeffery Scott Hellason, Reg. No. 40,621; Jason R. Graff, Reg. No. 41,044; Aren M. Hartounian, Reg. No. 62,897; Jeffery Scott Hellason, Reg. No. 46,765; Jamee A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 31,38; Aelam A. Jaffery, Reg. No. 56,841; Walter T. Klm, Reg. No. 42,923; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,138; Aelam A. Jaffery, Reg. No. 51,841; Walter T. Klm, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. Klng, Reg. No. 44,168; Mark A. Kupanoff, Reg. No. 55,349; Staven Laut, Reg. No. 41,736; Gurdon R. Lindoen III, Reg. No. 35,169; Jandre L. Marale, Reg. No. 49,065; Michael J. Maille, Reg. No. 33,162; Jan Carol Little-Washington, Reg. No. 41,181; Joseph No. 42,023; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 48,534; Heather M. Molleur, Reg. No. 40,42; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,267; Janthan S. Miller, Reg. No. SAP AG; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

P.6

NR.780 S.4/4

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of end evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of cander and good faith in desling with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this eaction. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes ebandened. Information material to the patentability of any claim manning under consideration in the application need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim manning under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information, which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability a deamed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was clied by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent who granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or stampted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicable to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior ert cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals essociated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application balleve any panding ciaim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prime facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (I) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prime facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a daim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and pefore any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares of prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an objigation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.